

The New, Fantastic R-Array

Tom Epperly, Gary Kumfert & Jim Leek

Center for Applied Scientific Computing January 27, 2005





Outline

- What's the big deal?
- What's the catch?
- How is this possible?
- How can I get one?
- Yes, but what's my code going to look like?
- How did this go from an idea to reality in 1.5 months?

What's the big deal?

- Arrays appear more "natural" in C, C++, Fortran 90 and particularly Fortran 77
- Developers need less or no code to translate between their array data structures to SIDL's data structure
- SIDL generated APIs can match signatures from well known legacy APIs
- Less performance overhead due to avoiding a malloc & free calls

What's the catch?

- Only in & inout modes supported
- R-arrays must be contiguous and column-major ordered
- No NULL r-arrays
- Implementation cannot reshape or replace an inout r-array
- R-arrays are limited to int, long, float and double

• Lower index is always 0

How is this possible?

- Changing the semantics of inout makes it possible
- Normal SIDL arrays have identical semantics to SIDL objects
 - ability to deleteRef and replace the array severely constrains how arrays must be passed
- inout for r-arrays means the data is passed from caller to callee and back

How can I get one?

- Download and install Babel 0.10.0 (or later)
- Modify your SIDL files to use the new rarray syntax
- Regenerate your client and server code to use the new API
- Edit your client code and impls

New r-array SIDL syntax

- in rarray<type[, dimension]> arg(indices) inout rarray<type[, dimension]> arg(indices)
- The SIDL declaration also must include the declarations of the index variables
- Example: void solve(in rarray<double, 2> A(m,n), inout rarray<double> x(n), in rarray<double> b(m), in int m, in int m, in int n);



Additional notes on r-array syntax

- Number of index variables must match the dimension of the array
- Index variables can be reused for other arguments
- Index arguments can appear anywhere in the argument list
- Values of index variables determine size of array

Yes, but what's my code going to look like?

- Watch out, A is in column-major order
- C client-side signature for solve
- Macros for column-major are available

- /*inout*/ double* x,
- /*in*/ double* b,
- /*in*/ int32_t m,
- /*in*/ int32_t n);

C server-side signature

void

}

/* DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.begin(num.Linsol.solve) */
/* Insert the implementation of the solve method here... */
/* DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.end(num.Linsol.solve) */

C++ client-side signature

C++ provides overloaded stub methods
 Note m & n don't appear in 2nd method

void	solve	(/*in*/	double*	A,		
		/*inout*/	double*	х,		
		/*in*/	double*	b,		
		/*in*/	int32 t	m,		
		/*in*/	int32_t	n)	throw	();



Fortran 77 client-side binding!

Note array lower index is 0

С

subroutine num_Linsol_solve_f(self,

\$ A, x, b, m, n) implicit none in num.Linsol self integer*8 self integer*4 m, n in rarray<double, 2> A(m, n) double precision A(0:m-1, 0:n-1) inout rarray<double> x(n) double precision x(0:n-1) in rarray<double> b(m) double precision b(0:m-1) end 공조립.

Fortran 77 server-side signature!

```
subroutine num Linsol solve fi(self, A, x, b, m, n)
implicit none
in num.Linsol self
integer*8 self
in int m
integer*4 m
in int n
integer*4 n
in rarray<double, 2> A(m, n)
double precision A(0:m-1, 0:n-1)
inout rarray<double> x(n)
double precision x(0:n-1)
in rarray<double> b(m)
double precision b(0:m-1)
```

- C DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.begin(num.Linsol.solve)
- C Insert the implementation here...
- C DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.end(num.Linsol.solve) end

공조립니

С

С

С

С

С

С

Fortran 90 client-side signature (1/2)

Like C++, F90 provides an overloaded client-side signature (no m & n args)

```
private :: solve 1s, solve 2s
interface solve
  module procedure solve 1s, solve 2s
end interface
recursive subroutine solve 1s(self, A, x, b)
  implicit none
  type(num Linsol t) , intent(in) :: self ! in num.Linsol self
  ! in array<double,2,column-major> A
  type(sidl double 2d) , intent(in) :: A
  ! inout array<double,column-major> x
  type(sidl double 1d) , intent(inout) :: x
  ! in array<double,column-major> b
  type(sidl double 1d) , intent(in) :: b
  ! details deleted
end subroutine solve 1s
```

BABEL

Fortran 90 client-side signature (2/2)

Here is the one that takes native Fortran
 90 as arguments (m & n don't appear)

```
recursive subroutine solve_2s(self, A, x, b)
implicit none
type(num_Linsol_t) , intent(in) :: self ! in num.Linsol self
! in rarray<double, 2> A(m, n)
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)) , intent(in), dimension(:, :) :: A
! inout rarray<double> x(n)
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)) , intent(inout), dimension(:) :: x
! in rarray<double> b(m)
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)) , intent(in), dimension(:) :: b
! details deleted
end subroutine solve_2s
```

Fortran 90 server-side signature

```
recursive subroutine num_Linsol_solve_mi(self, A, x, b, m, n)
use num_Linsol
use sidl_double_array
use num_Linsol_impl
! DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.begin(num.Linsol.solve.use)
! DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.end(num.Linsol.solve.use)
implicit none
type(num_Linsol_t) :: self ! in
integer (selected_int_kind(9)) :: m ! in
integer (selected_int_kind(9)) :: n ! in
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)), dimension(0:m-1, 0:n-1) :: A ! in
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)), dimension(0:m-1) :: x ! inout
real (selected_real_kind(15, 307)), dimension(0:m-1) :: b ! in
```

```
! DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.begin(num.Linsol.solve)
! Insert the implementation here...
! DO-NOT-DELETE splicer.end(num.Linsol.solve)
end subroutine num_Linsol_solve_mi
```

BYBEL

R-arrays for other languages

- In Java and Python, r-arrays are treated just like normal SIDL arrays
 - ► the index variables do not appear



How did this go from an idea to reality in 1.5 months?

- Babel users complained about having to wrap simple arrays as borrowed arrays
- LAPACK/Victor wanted simpler arrays
- Jeff Keasler (LLNL) suggested changing the array rules
- I flushed out the idea
- Gary came up with explicit variable declarations
- Jim and I coded it up